

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,574	02/05/2004		Ronald G. Thieman	70026260-0002-103	2255	
54243	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
JOHN V.	DANILU	CK	DESAI, HEMANT			
BINGHAM			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	2700 MARKET TOWER 10 W. MARKET STREET			3721		
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 ,	
(/	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,574	THIEMAN, RONALD G.		
Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Hemant M. Desai	3721		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Framiner Art Unit								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hemant M. Desai	3721					
-The MA	ILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED	06 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 The reply was this application places the ap a Request for time periods: 	filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on in, applicant must timely file one of the follow plication in condition for allowance; (2) a No Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, t Examiner	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
filing the Notice of Ap	Appeal was filed on A brief in compose of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS								
(a)⊠ They ra (b)☐ They ra (c)☐ They ar	d amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, ise new issues that would require further co ise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo e not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal;								
—	esent additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
_	See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,	P 4 A 1	(DTOL 004)				
	ents are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	eply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Marak Blad and day	-4 P 4b				
o.	sed or amended claim(s) would be all claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes how the new of The status of Claim(s) allow Claim(s) objection (s) without the Claim(s) without the control of	of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is protected in the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: yed: None. cted to: None. eted: 84 and 86. drawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OT								
because appl was not earlie	or other evidence filed after a final action, bu icant failed to provide a showing of good an or presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and				
entered becar showing a go	or other evidence filed after the date of filing use the affidavit or other evidence failed to c od and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).				
	or other evidence is entered. An explanatio CONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
	for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the atta 13. ☐ Other:	ched Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment present additional claim 87. Further, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is still applicable to claims 84 and 86, in the final rejection mailed on 2/16/2006. Belmont et a. clearly disclose fastener strips (14) having interlocable profiles (26, 32) with sliders (12) and fusing together a portion of the interlockable profiles (end terminators, col. 5, lines 10-14), and attaching the interlockable fastener strips to the web of flexible film after placing the plurality of sliders and after fusing at plurality of location.

Hemant M. Desai. 4/17/06